

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/805,284	Applicant(s) KUSUNOKI, MASANORI	
	Examiner Paula W. Klimach	Art Unit 2135	

All Participants:

(1) Paula W. Klimach.

(2) Paul Teng.

Date of Interview: 04/18/05

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
 1, 6, 7, 14, 17, 20

Prior art documents discussed:
 Samson (6,339,423) and Sasmazel (6,032,260)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Request for reconsideration after final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Although the claims preamble includes "connecting the client to the second terminal server via the first terminal server," this is not included in the limitation of the claims. Adding this to the limitations will overcome the references Sasmazel et al (6,032,260) and Samson (6,339,423 B1)..